

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF WEST KENTUCKY RURAL	)	
TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, INC.	)	
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND	)	CASE NO. 9825
NECESSITY AUTHORIZING IT TO CONSTRUCT	)	
ADDITIONAL TELEPHONE LINES AND OTHER	)	
FACILITIES	)	

O R D E R

On June 9, 1987, the Commission issued an Order requesting further information in this case. West Kentucky Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., ("WKRTCC") filed its response on July 15, 1987. Upon review the Commission finds that the response failed to adequately answer some aspects of the information request.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that WKRTCC shall file an original and 10 copies of the following information with the Commission. The information requested herein is due no later than August 31, 1987. If the information cannot be provided by this date, WKRTCC should submit a motion for an extension of time stating the reason a delay is necessary and include a date by which it will be furnished. Such motion will be considered by the Commission.

1. Provide a response to Item 1(j) of the June 9 information request, which requested documentation showing the data used in the calculations. At a minimum, this should include:

a. An itemization of all microwave and toll concentration related expenditures.

b. An itemization and explanation for all T-Carrier expenditures, to include routing and capacity information.

c. An itemization of all leased lines expenditures, by circuit and year of expenditure. Show specifically how a first year annual cost of \$40,488 for 16 leased circuits and alarm monitoring grows to a total of \$2,148,534 in 20 years.

2. The response indicated that no detailed present worth studies were deemed necessary since the proposed microwave plan showed a clear advantage. Since the microwave plan involves a large initial investment while the T-Carrier plan involves investments spread over 20 years, this conclusion cannot be reached without a present worth or similar-type study. Provide an analysis of the present worth of expenditures of the plant investments involved with both plans. If this analysis does not show an advantage to the microwave plan, it would then be necessary to analyze the difference in annual expenses, such as maintenance, between the two plans. Provide all necessary details such as the discount rate, study period, and data used in the calculations if not provided in Item 1.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 14th day of August, 1987.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

*Richard D. Herman, Jr.*  
For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director